MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT #TRD3238-00

Permitting and Compliance Division 1520 E. Sixth Avenue P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901

International Malting Company, LLC – Great Falls NE¹/₄ of the SE¹/₄ of Section 30, Township 21 North, Range 4 East, Cascade County, MT P.O. Box 712 Milwaukee, WI 53201

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		Method 5, 7 through 7E, 9, 10 or 10B
Ambient Monitoring Required		X	
COMS Required		X	
CEMS Required		X	
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semiannual Reporting Required	X		As Applicable
Monthly Reporting Required		X	
Quarterly Reporting Required		X	
Applicable Air Quality Programs			
ARM Subchapter 7 Preconstruction Permitting	X		Permit #3238-00
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)		X	
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)		X	
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)		X	
Major New Source Review (NSR)		X	
Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)		X	
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)		X	
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		General SIP

TRD3238-00 1 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC	FION I. GENERAL INFORMATION	.3
A.	Purpose	.3
B.	FACILITY LOCATION	
C.	FACILITY BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
D.	TAKING AND DAMAGING ANALYSIS	
E.	COMPLIANCE DESIGNATION	. 3
SECT	TION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS	.4
A.	FACILITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION	. 4
B.	EMISSION UNITS AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE IDENTIFICATION	. 4
C.	CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT SOURCES/ACTIVITIES	. 4
SEC	TION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS	.5
A.	EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS	.5
D.	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
E.	TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES	
F.	RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	
G.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	
H.	PUBLIC NOTICE	
I.	DRAFT PERMIT COMMENTS	
SECT	TION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS	10
SECT	TION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS	11
A.	MACT STANDARDS	
B.	NESHAP STANDARDS	
C.	NSPS STANDARDS	
D.	RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN	1

SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Purpose

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the permit. Conclusions in this document are based on information provided in the original application submitted by International Malt Company (IMC) on February 3, 2003.

B. Facility Location

The IMC facility is located approximately 2 miles north of the City of Great Falls, Montana, and approximately ½ mile west of Black Eagle Road. The legal description of the facility site is the NE¼ of the SE¼ of Section 30, Township 21 North, Range 4 East, in Cascade County, Montana.

C. Facility Background Information

On May 17, 2003, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (Department) issued IMC final Montana Air Quality Permit #3238-00.

D. Taking and Damaging Analysis

HB 311, the Montana Private Property Assessment Act, requires analysis of every proposed state agency administrative rule, policy, permit condition or permit denial, pertaining to an environmental matter, to determine whether the state action constitutes a taking or damaging of private real property that requires compensation under the Montana or U.S. Constitution. As part of issuing an operating permit, the Department is required to complete a Taking and Damaging Checklist. As required by 2-10-101 through 105, MCA, the Department has conducted a private property taking and damaging assessment and has determined there are no taking or damaging implications. The checklist was completed on September 7, 2004.

E. Compliance Designation

As of January 26, 2005, the IMC facility is under construction and has not yet begun operations. Therefore, the facility has not yet undergone a full compliance evaluation. However, construction phase inspections conducted to date indicated compliance with applicable requirements.

TRD3238-00 3 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

Date of Decision: 01/26/05 Effective Date: 02/26/05

SECTION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

A. Facility Process Description

IMC operates a barley malt manufacturing plant with a malt and salable malt by-product production capacity of 16 million bushels per year. Construction and operation of the proposed malting plant will occur in two phases (Phase I and Phase II). After construction of Phase I, the malting plant will have the capacity to produce from 8 to 12 million bushels of malt and salable malt by-product per year. After construction of Phase II, the malting plant capacity will increase to a maximum of 16 million bushels of malt and salable malt by-product per year. IMC will commence Phase II operations within 3 years of the commencement of Phase I operations. A complete list of equipment is contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis for this permit.

B. Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification

The emission units regulated by this permit are the following (ARM 17.8.1211):

Emissions Unit ID	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
EU001	Facility-Wide Production / Process Limits	Applicable Limits
EU002	Raw Material and Product Handling	Fabric Filter Baghouse
EU003	Kiln Operations	Pipeline Quality Natural Gas Only and Limited Elemental Sulfur Combustion
EU004	Process Heaters	Pipeline Quality Natural Gas Only

C. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities

The following table of insignificant sources and/or activities were provided by IMC. Because there are no requirements to update such a list, the emission units and/or activities may change from those specified in the table.

Emissions Unit ID	Description
IEU01	100 ton/hr Malt Load-Out Spouts (2)
IEU02	100 ton/hr Barley Receiving Bins (4)
IEU03	190 ton/hr Malt Load-Out Spouts (2)
IEU04	Truck Load-Out #2
IEU05	Vehicle Traffic Fugitive Dust

SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS

A. Emission Limits and Standards

EU001 – Facility-Wide Production / Process Limits

IMC is limited to a maximum of 16 million bushels of malt and salable malt by-product per year. This limit is based on the maximum production level analyzed under IMC's application for Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #3238-00.

Further, IMC is limited to a maximum barley throughput of 456,000 tons per year. This limit is based on the maximum production level analyzed under IMC's application for MAQP #3238-00.

EU002 - Raw Material and Product Handling

All emissions (fugitive and stack) from material handling operations at the IMC plant are limited to a maximum opacity of 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. This limit is established for stack emissions in accordance with the provisions of ARM 17.8.304 and for fugitive emissions in accordance with the provisions of ARM 17.8.308.

All stack emissions from raw material and product handling must be vented to a fabric filter baghouse. Further, Baghouse #1-#8 are limited to 0.005 grains per dry standard cubic foot of flow through each respective baghouse. This limit is established based on IMC proposed achievable baghouse performance as analyzed under the application for MAOP #3238-00.

All barley preparation processes shall be located within the enclosed headhouse and shall be vented to fabric filter baghouse control. Through case-by-case analysis, these requirements were established as Best Available Control Technology (BACT).

All barley shipments shall be unloaded to underground hoppers that are vented to a fabric filter baghouse. Through case-by-case analysis, these requirements were established as BACT.

All malt and salable malt by-product shall be loaded for shipment via covered conveyors, which are vented to fabric filter baghouse control. Through case-by-case analysis, these requirements were established as BACT.

All material transfer points for grain receiving and off-loading operations shall utilize at least 3-sided enclosure for the control of fugitive dust emissions. Through case-by-case analysis, these requirements were established as BACT.

EU003 – Kiln Operations (Kiln #1, Kiln #2, Kiln #3)

All emissions from kiln operations at the IMC plant are limited to a maximum opacity of 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. This limit is established for stack emissions in accordance with the provisions of ARM 17.8.304(2).

Each kiln is limited to an SO₂ emission rate of 83.33 lb/hr. This limit is based on potential emissions resulting from the permitted maximum allowable elemental sulfur combustion for each kiln batch.

Each kiln batch is limited to a maximum of 500 pounds of elemental sulfur combusted per kiln batch. This limit was established based on the maximum elemental sulfur combustion analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #3238-00.

TRD3238-00 5 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

The cumulative elemental sulfur burning (total for all 3 kilns) for IMC operations is limited to 365,000 pounds during any rolling 12-month time period. This limit was established based on the maximum elemental sulfur combustion analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of MAQP #3238-00.

The cumulative allowable time (total for all 3 kilns) that elemental sulfur may be combusted at the IMC site is limited to 2190 hours during any rolling 12-month time period. This limit was established based on the maximum elemental sulfur combustion analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of MAQP #3238-00.

Kiln fuel is limited to the combustion of pipeline quality natural gas. Through case-by-case analysis, this requirement was established as BACT.

<u>EU004 – Proces</u>s Heaters

All emissions from process/booster heater operations at the IMC plant are limited to a maximum opacity of 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. This limit is established for stack emissions in accordance with the provisions of ARM 17.8.304(2).

Emissions from each of the 12 – 19.12 MMBtu/hr process heaters are limited to the following emission rates. These limits were established based on the maximum allowable operations demonstrating compliance with applicable ambient air quality standards analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of MAOP #3238-00.

NOx 1.87 lb/hr CO 1.57 lb/hr

Emissions from the 21 MMBtu/hr booster heater #1 are limited to the following emission rates. These limits were established based on the maximum allowable operations demonstrating compliance with applicable ambient air quality standards analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of MAQP #3238-00.

NOx 2.06 lb/hr CO 1.73 lb/hr

Emissions from the 38 MMBtu/hr booster heater #2 are limited to the following emission rates. These limits were established based on the maximum allowable operations demonstrating compliance with applicable ambient air quality standards analyzed under the ambient air quality impact analysis conducted for issuance of MAQP #3238-00.

NOx 3.73 lb/hr CO 3.13 lb/hr

Process/booster heater fuel is limited to the combustion of pipeline quality natural gas. Through caseby-case analysis, this requirement was established as BACT.

D. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements are contained in operating permits. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

The requirements for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance do not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emission units. Furthermore, they do not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for a insignificant emissions unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (i.e., no monitoring) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit does not include monitoring for insignificant emissions units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by the permittee to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, the Department may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

E. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, but the Department has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

F. Recordkeeping Requirements

The permittee is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least five years following the date of the generation of the record.

G. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, the permittee is required to submit semi-annual and annual monitoring reports to the Department and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation.

H. Public Notice

In accordance with ARM 17.8.132, a public notice was published in the Great Falls Tribune newspaper on or before Thursday, October 22, 2004. The Department provided a public comment period on the draft operating permit from October 22, 2004, through November 22, 2004. ARM 17.8.1232 requires the Department to keep a record of both comments and issues raised during the public participation process. The Department did not receive any public comment during the public comment period.

Summary of Public Comments

Person/Group Commenting	Comment	Department Response
None	None	NA

TRD3238-00 7 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

I. Draft Permit Comments

On November 22, 2004, the Department received comments from Bison Engineering, Inc., on behalf of IMC. These comments are summarized, along with the Department's responses, in the table below.

Summary of Permittee Comments

Permit Reference	Permittee Comment	Department Response
Section I, General	The responsible official and phone number	The Department will modify the affected
Information	should be changed to Damian Lasaffre at	information to reflect the proper reference
	(414) 671-1166.	under the proposed operating permit.
Section I, General	Fifth sentence in "Description of Process"	The Department will modify the affected
Information	should be changed to "IMC will commence	information to reflect the proper reference
	Phase II construction within 3 years of	under the proposed operating permit.
	commencement of Phase I operations."	The state of the s
Section III.A, Facility-	Condition II.A.2 should be removed from	The Department will remove the affected
Wide	the table and the list of "Conditions."	condition under the proposed operating
	Condition A.2 addresses a 40% opacity	permit.
	limit for sources installed before November	
	23, 1968. Since the facility is new,	
	Condition A.2 cannot be applicable to any	
	installed source at the IMC facility.	
Section III, Facility-	Condition II.A.14 should be removed from	Since IMC could potentially become an
Wide	the table and the list of "Conditions."	affected source (major source of HAPs or
	Condition A.14 addresses the requirement	an affected area source), the Department
	for start-up, shutdown, and malfunction	will not remove this generally applicable
	(SSM) plans for facilities that are classified	facility-wide condition under the proposed
	as a major source of hazardous air	operating permit.
	pollutants (HAP). IMC is not a major	
	source of HAPs, as defined in 40 CFR 63.	
Section III.C, EU002 –	IMC would like to remove the weekly	The Department disagrees with IMCs
Raw Material and	visual surveys from condition C.9. IMC	belief that material and product handling
Product Handling	does not believe that weekly visual surveys	operations constitute insignificant
	are necessary for these operations that are	activities. Further, the Department
	for the most part considered insignificant.	believes that weekly visual surveys
		constitute an appropriate and typical
		compliance demonstration for sources of
		this type. The Department will not modify
		this condition under the proposed
C 4; HID EH003	C 12 D C D 12 1 D 17 1 111	operating permit.
Section III.D, EU003 –	Condition D.6, D.12, and D.17 should be removed from the table and the list of	Since kiln operations are directly
Kiln Operations (Kiln		associated with natural gas fired process
#1, Kiln #2, and Kiln #3)	"Conditions" since there is no combustion of natural gas associated with kiln	heater operations (kiln heat), the
#3)	operations.	Department disagrees with IMC's contention that there is no combustion of
	operations.	natural gas associated with kiln operations.
	IMC would like to remove the weekly	However, the Department believes that
	visual surveys from Condition D.7. IMC	compliance with the associated natural gas
	does not believe that weekly visual surveys	combustion operations is appropriately
	are necessary for the kiln operations since	covered under Section III.E, Process
	the PM_{10} emissions are not uniformly	Heaters. The Department will remove
	emitted during the batch process.	conditions III.D.6, III.D.12, and III.D.17
	emitted during are eaten process.	for issuance of the proposed operating
		permit.
		Further, the Department believes that
		weekly visual surveys constitute an
		appropriate and typical compliance
		demonstration for sources of this type.
		The Department will not modify this
		condition under the proposed operating
		permit.

Section III.E, EU004 –	IMC would like to remove the weekly	The Department agrees that the visual
Process Heaters	visual surveys from Condition E.6. IMC	surveys compliance demonstration is
	does not believe that weekly visual surveys	atypical for units of this type. Typically,
	are necessary for the kiln operations since	the opacity compliance demonstration for
	the PM ₁₀ emissions are extremely low for	natural gas combustion devices, such as
	the natural gas-fired process heaters.	the affected process heaters, is the burning
	Typically, visual surveys are not required	of pipeline quality natural gas. Because
	on natural gas combustion devices (i.e.,	Section III.E.5 requires the burning of
	process heaters).	pipeline quality natural gas for these units,
		the Department believes that the opacity
		limit is protected. The Department will
		modify the affected condition to remove
		the visual surveys requirement under the
		proposed operating permit.

Summary of EPA Comments

Permit Reference	EPA Comment	Department Response
NA	None	NA

TRD3238-00 9 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

SECTION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

Pursuant to ARM 17.8.1221, IMC requested a permit shield for all non-applicable regulatory requirements and regulatory orders identified in Section 7.1 of the IMC Title V Operating Permit Application.

The following table outlines those requirements that IMC had identified as non-applicable in the permit application, but, after Department review, will not be included in the operating permit as non-applicable. The table includes both the applicable requirement and reason that the Department did not identify this requirement as non-applicable.

Rule Citation	Reason
40 CFR 50	Although these rules contain requirements for the
40 CFR 51	regulatory authorities and not major sources, these
40 CFR 58	rules can be used as authority to impose specific
40 CFR 71	requirements on a major source.
40 CFR 52,	These rules do not have specific requirements and
ARM 17.8.1001	may or may not be relevant to a major source and
ARM 17.8.1103	should never be listed in the applicable or non-
	applicable requirements.
40 CFR 62	These rules do not have specific requirements and
40 CFR 69	are always relevant to a major source and should
40 CFR 70	never be listed in the applicable or non-applicable
	requirements.
40 CFR 61, Subpart M	These rules are procedural and have specific
40 CFR 63, Subpart A and Subpart B	requirements that may become relevant to a major
40 CFR 68	source during the permit span.
ARM 17.8.120 et seq.	
ARM 17.8.514	
ARM 17.8.515	
ARM 17.8.611	
ARM 17.8.612	
ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8	
ARM 17.8, Subchapter 9	
ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7	These rules are applicable to the source and may
	contain specific requirements for compliance.

TRD3238-00 10 Date of Decision: 01/26/05

SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

A. MACT Standards

As of January 26, 2005, the Department is unaware of any currently applicable or future MACT standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

B. NESHAP Standards

As of January 26, 2005, the Department is unaware of any currently applicable or future NESHAPs standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

Asbestos abatement projects and building demolition/renovation activities will be conducted in accordance with applicable asbestos regulatory requirements. Those regulatory requirements include, but are not limited to 29 CFR 1926.1101; 40 CFR 763 Sections 120, 121, 124, and Subpart E; 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart M; State of Montana Asbestos Control Act 75-2-501 through 519 MCA, and State of Montana Occupational Health Rules ARM 17.74.301 through 406. State-accredited asbestos abatement personnel shall conduct the abatement of regulated asbestos-containing materials. Asbestos-containing waste materials shall be transported properly and disposed of in a State-approved landfill.

C. NSPS Standards

As of January 26, 2005, the Department is unaware of any currently applicable or future NSPS standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

D. Risk Management Plan

As of January 26, 2005, this facility does not exceed the minimum threshold quantities for any regulated substance listed in 40 CFR 68.115 for any facility process. Consequently, this facility is not required to submit a Risk Management Plan.

If a facility has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process, the facility must comply with 40 CFR 68 requirements no later than June 21, 1999; three years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR 68.130; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.